UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,400	11/30/2001	Richard S. Ginn	16497.8.3. 2.1.	4276
57360 7590 04/19/2007 WORKMAN NYDEGGER		EXAMINER		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER,			DAWSON, GLENN K	
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3731	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/006,400	GINN, RICHARD S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Glenn K. Dawson	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16,21-25,27-32,38-42,46-51,54-56,60-75 and 80-90</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>11,14-16,21,23-25,27-32,38-42,46-51,54-56,60-75 and 85-90</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>12,13,22 and 80-84</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany	epted or b) objected to by the F	Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 3731

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01-26-2007 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3731

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11,14-16,21,23-25,27-32,38-42,46-51,54-56,60-75 and 85—90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein, et al.-WO 00/06029 in view of Ginn, et al.-6626918, or Ginn, et al.-6391048.

Epstein discloses the device and method as claimed (see previous office action) with the exception of the closure element being a clip instead of it being a fluid sealant. However, each of the other cited references disclose the use of clips to close vascular punctures, and the two Ginn references disclose that it was known to either supplement a clip closure with a sealant, or that a clip is a known alternative to the use of a sealant in closing vessel puncture wounds. It therefore would have been obvious to have either exchanged the closure sealant with a clip applying device as is taught by the cited teaching references, or to add a clip applying device in combination with the sealant, as this has been shown to be an effective alternative to sealant alone in the sealing of vessel puncture wounds.

Member 41 is the claimed tether. Epstein's device follows the puncturing of the vessel wall in the performance of vascular procedures such as angiography, angioplasty, atherectomy... etc.

Art Unit: 3731

The actuator 47 slides into detents 51,52 (cooperating elements of claim 54 include 47,51,52) to lock the actuator in an advanced and retracted position. The switch 47 would be at a known distance from the distal end of the locator member. The actuator pulls on the tether connected to the helical wire and when either pushed or pulled causes the intermediate portion of the coil to buckle.

Once the clip applier is added to the exterior of the elongate member, the clip applier of Ginn has the claimed housing 16 or 24 and clip 32,846 held therein and is pushed by an actuator 226 or 18 attached thereto to slide the housing and clip along the exterior of the elongate member and allows for the clip to be closed upon the incision or puncture.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12,13,22 and 80-84 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01-26-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that since Epstein desires for no structure to remain behind that this teaches against the use of clips. However, the examiner contends that since the clips of the prior art are bioabsorbable, that they would degrade the same as the sealant and therefore would not pose any long-term risk or need for later removal.

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant also argues that great modifications would need to be done to Epstein's device to accommodate a clip applier.

The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Additionally, the examiner contends that one skilled in the art would easily be capable of adding a clip applier to the device of Epstein, merely slidable attaching the clip housing around the exterior of the outer tube of Epstein and adding an actuator.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 14 April 2007